In "The Freedom of the Sea," Carl Schmitz argued that state power was geopolitically founded upon what he took to be a fundamental opposition between the solid space of continents and islands and the oceanic flow of the sea, space that, in his view, could not be brought within the spatial order of state power. He didn't mean that the oceans, the watery spaces of the globe, are beyond the reach of state power—only that they were not "occupiable."  

...but in reality expresses a possible truth.

As do those whole-shaped shadows below the boats below. Glimpsed. Momentarily visible masses: following or leading or chasing or being chased out of contested waters?

Whales in-and-of themselves vs. steamships (oil or wood)? Sea Shepard vs. blood-red blobber chews? (fatt or fertilizer?)
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and—er: Indonesia vs. Occupied Japan, and then the West —

Bones of mammals occupy every wet, dry or empty square inch. Unfathomable.